

**Potential Agenda/Discussion Items**Tribal-Federal Government-to-Government Consultation on *ALL H Paper*

March 24, 2000, 9:30 a.m.

Spokane, Washington - Ridpath Hotel, 515 West Sprague Avenue, (509) 838-2711

The following is a revision of the draft agenda. Revisions were based on today's telephone conference call. Call participants included: Joe Peone, Sue Ireland, Howard Funke, Alex Smith, Scott Aikin, John Dooley, Richard Hanes, Gordon Haugen, Bob Shank, Darrell Eastman, Philip Key, and G. S. Sims.

I. OPENING and BLESSING *Bruce Wynn/Deb Louie?*II. DISCUSSION OF TRIBAL CONCERNS REGARDING THE *ALL Hs PAPER* AND RELATED ACTIVITIES.

## A. HABITAT DISCUSSION

1. Federal actions and cumulative impacts on upriver resources.<sup>1</sup>
2. Discussion of federal government commitment to implement, and monitor, requirements associated in *ALL H Paper* and related documents/plans.<sup>2</sup>
3. Policy of federal government to restore anadromous fish to Blocked Areas and Okanogan River System. *20 minute > listen/respond > NPPC-sub-basin plan - tributaries -*
4. Immediate (Tribal) needs.
5. "Other"

## B. HYDRO DISCUSSION

1. Discussion on federal decisions relating to additional draw down from GCD and flood control policy—including incentive for tribes to support BiOp.<sup>3</sup>
2. USACE capital expenditures.
3. Resident fish BiOp *✓ FWS - Sturgeon/Bull trout*
4. Immediate (Tribal) needs.
5. "Other"

## C. HATCHERIES DISCUSSION

1. Mitigation/Conservation<sup>4</sup> *✓ further research on issue -*
2. Augmentation
3. Conservation—Spring Chinook
4. Immediate (Tribal) needs.

<sup>1</sup> Includes conducting thorough analyses of impacts on upriver resources for All Hs.

<sup>2</sup> Includes discussion of coordination with all land ownerships: state, federal, local, tribal governments and private ownerships.

<sup>3</sup> What can be done regarding "var-Q," shifting flood control burden to GCD, flood control rules. Tribes want to discuss how US intends to work collaboratively with tribes rather than unilateral determinations without consultation.

<sup>4</sup> Discussion on the apparent federal move from mitigation to conservation hatcheries and implications for resident fisheries.

*Blocked area plan — substance ??*

*Participate in weekly calls??*

5. "Other"

D. HARVEST DISCUSSION

1. Harvest allocation for upriver tribes.
2. Summer-Fall Chinook
3. Immediate (Tribal) needs
4. "Other"

III. DISCUSSION ON OVERARCHING ISSUES<sup>5</sup>

- A. Trust Responsibilities—treaty vs. executive order<sup>6</sup>
- B. Water Quality
- C. Cultural Resources and Values
- D. Direct Fish and Wildlife Funds
- E. Fed Agencies and NPPC Processes.<sup>7</sup>

IV. FUTURE MEETING DATE and LOCATION

---

<sup>5</sup>May move this to Level II

<sup>6</sup>How are action agencies plan to integrate management and conservation needs.

<sup>7</sup>Includes implementation of fish and wildlife program, intergovernmental collaboration, and response to trust responsibility.

# Trust Responsibility

- Award to Grand Coulee - "takings issue"
- loss of power - land was bought by Bureau
- Colvilles were supposed to get 4th hatchery
- re-establishment
- Colvilles ?? Shoshone - Bannock Tribe?
- Joe Peone trying to help getting this consultation - Grand Coulee
- Will - CRI notes Upper Columbia spring most at risk -
- What are options? What is fed govt commitment to tribes? in ongoing discussion
- What will fed govt make upper tribes give up? More?

Hatcheries -  
- not sure what issues are  
- impt of residence for hatcheries to anadromous  
- need more research to understanding

## Resident Fish Bio-Op

- sturgeon - FWS issue
- decisions made on mainstream fisheries > U.S. v. Oregon decision
- which affect upriver tribes - they are not consulted on those issues -
- decision on breaching ?? - greater demand on flow augmentation from Grand Coulee ?? - need to have consultation -

Meet w/ all tribes in future - Tom Jensen work w/ Karl Funk -

Thank goodness we have fun in this job!!

FWS -  
Is Bill S. going to be in Spokane?

We are heading into Water War on this decision. I was in an ugly meeting last week w/ NMFS and Idaho -